Proof of a conjecture of Mircea Merca

Victor J. W. Guo

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Key Laboratory of PMMP, East China Normal University,
500 Dongchuan Rd., Shanghai 200241, People's Republic of China
jwguo@math.ecnu.edu.cn, http://math.ecnu.edu.cn/~jwguo

arXiv:1405.4755v1 [math.NT] 19 May 2014

Abstract. We prove that, for any prime p and positive integer r with $p^r > 2$, the number of multinomial coefficients such that

$$\binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n} = p^r, \quad \text{and} \quad k_1 + 2k_2 + \cdots + nk_n = n,$$

is given by

$$\delta_{p^r,\,k}\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{p^r-1}\right\rfloor - \delta_{0,\,n \bmod p^r}\right),$$

where $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker delta and $\lfloor x \rfloor$ stands for the largest integer not exceeding x. This confirms a recent conjecture of Mircea Merca.

Keywords: multinomial coefficients; binomial coefficients; Fine's formula

AMS Subject Classifications: 05A10; 05A19

1 Introduction

The multinomial coefficients are defined by

$$\binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n} = \frac{k!}{k_1!k_2!\cdots k_n!},$$

where $k = k_1+k_2+\cdots+k_n$. Fine [1, p. 87] gave a connection between binomial coefficients and binomial coefficients:

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+\cdots+k_n=k\\ k_1+2k_2+\cdots+nk_n=n}} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n} = \binom{n-1}{k-1}. \tag{1.1}$$

Let $M_m(n,k)$ be the number of multinomial coefficients such that

$$\binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n} = m, \quad \text{and} \quad k_1 + 2k_2 + \cdots + nk_n = n.$$

For example, we have $M_6(10,3) = 4$, since

$$10 = 1+2+7 = 1+3+6 = 1+4+5 = 2+3+5.$$

It is easy to see that $M_1(n,k) = \delta_{0,\,n \bmod k}$. Recently, applying Fine's formula (1.1), Merca [2] obtained new upper bounds involving $M_m(n,k)$ for the number of partitions of n into k parts. He also proved that

$$M_2(n,k) = \delta_{2,\,k} \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor,$$
$$M_p(n,k) = \delta_{p,\,k} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{p-1} \right\rfloor - \delta_{0,\,n \bmod p} \right),$$

where p is an odd prime.

In this paper, we shall prove the following result, which was conjectured by Merca [2, Conjecture 1].

Theorem 1. *Let p be a prime and let n, k, r be positive integers with $p^r > 2$. Then*

$$M_{p^r}(n,k) = \delta_{p^r,\,k} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{p^r-1} \right\rfloor - \delta_{0,\,n \bmod p^r} \right).$$

Merca [2] pointed out that, when m is not a prime power, the formula for $M_m(n,k)$ is more involved. For example, we have

$$M_{10}(n,k) = \delta_{10,\,k} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{9} \right\rfloor - \delta_{0,\,n \bmod 10} \right) + \delta_{5,\,k} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{6} \right\rfloor - \delta_{0,\,n \bmod 5} - \delta_{0,\,n \bmod 6} \right).$$

2 Proof of Theorem 1

We need the following result.

Lemma 2. *Let n and k be two positive integers with $2 \leqslant k \leqslant \frac{n}{2}$. Then the binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{k}$ is not a prime power.*

Proof. For any prime p, the p-adic order of $n!$ can be given by

$$\mathrm{ord}_p n! = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^i} \right\rfloor.$$

If $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$ were a prime power, say p^r, then

$$r = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^i} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n-k}{p^i} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^i} \right\rfloor \right). \tag{2.1}$$

Note that $\lfloor x+y \rfloor - \lfloor x \rfloor - \lfloor y \rfloor \leqslant 1$. From (2.1) we deduce that r is less than or equal to the largest integer i such that $p^i \leqslant n$. Namely, $p^r \leqslant n$. On the other hand, for $2 \leqslant k \leqslant n-2$, we have $\binom{n}{k} > n$, a contradiction. Therefore, the initial assumption must be false. □

Proof of Theorem 1. Let

$$\binom{k_1+k_2+\cdots+k_n}{k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_n}=p^r. \tag{2.2}$$

We assert that there are exactly two i's such that $k_i \geqslant 1$. In fact, if $k_1,k_2,k_3 \geqslant 1$, then either $\binom{k_1+k_2+k_3}{k_1,k_2,k_3}=\binom{3}{1,1,1}=6$, or by Lemma 2, $\binom{k_1+k_2+k_3}{k_a}$ ($k_a=\max\{k_1,k_2,k_3\}$) is not a prime power. But this is impossible, since both $\binom{k_1+k_2+k_3}{k_1,k_2,k_3}$ and $\binom{k_1+k_2+k_3}{k_a}$ divide $\binom{k_1+k_2+\cdots+k_n}{k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_n}$. This proves the assertion. Furthermore, by Lemma 2 again, one of the two non-zero k_i's must be 1, and by (2.2), the other non-zero term is equal to p^r-1. In other words, the identity (2.2) holds if and only if $(k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_n)$ is a rearrangement of $(p^r-1,1,0,\ldots,0)$. Consider the equation

$$(p^r-1)x+y=n. \tag{2.3}$$

If $k=p^r$, then we conclude that $M_{p^r}(n,k)$ is equal to the number of solutions (x,y) to (2.3) with $x\neq y$, i.e.,

$$M_{p^r}(n,k)=\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{p^r-1}\right\rfloor-\begin{cases}1, & \text{if } n\equiv 0 \pmod{p^r},\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

If $k\neq p^r$, then it is obvious that $M_{p^r}(n,k)=0$. This completes the proof. □

3 Concluding remarks

Note that, Lemma 2 plays an important part in our proof of Theorem 1. It seems that we may say something more about the factors of $\binom{n}{k}$ for $2\leqslant k\leqslant \frac{n}{2}$. Since $\binom{n}{k}=\frac{n}{k}\binom{n-1}{k-1}$, we have $\gcd\left(\binom{n}{k},n\right)>1$, where $\gcd(a,b)$ denotes the greatest common divisor of two integers a and b. If

$$\gcd\left(\binom{n}{k},n-1\right)>1, \tag{3.1}$$

then, noticing that $\gcd(n,n-1)=1$, we immediately deduce that $\binom{n}{k}$ has at least two different prime factors (namely, Lemma 2 holds). But, in general, the inequality (3.1) does not hold. For example, $\gcd\left(\binom{7}{3},6\right)=1$. Similarly, the identity $\gcd\left(\binom{14}{4},12\right)=1$ means that we cannot expect

$$\gcd\left(\binom{n}{k},n-2\right)>1. \tag{3.2}$$

We close our paper with the following conjecture, which asserts that at least one of (3.1) and (3.2) is true.

Conjecture 3. *Let n and k be two positive integers with $2 \leqslant k \leqslant \frac{n}{2}$. Then*

$$\gcd\left(\binom{n}{k}, \binom{n-1}{2}\right) > 1.$$

We have verified the above conjecture for n up to 5000 via Maple.

Acknowledgments. This work was partially supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 11371144).

References

[1] N. J. Fine, Sums over partitions, In: Report of the Institute in the Theory of Numbers, University of Colorado, Boulder, 1959, pp. 86–94.

[2] M. Merca, New upper bounds for the number of partitions into a given number of parts, J. Number Theory (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2014.03.015